



Plenary 2: The current status and potential challenges for sustained mode

Pathway to regular and sustained delivery of climate forcing datasets workshop: 28-31 October 2024, ECMWF Reading

Chair: Eleanor O'Rourke







Agenda

- Recap of Plenary 1
- Where we are today
- Potential challenges for a sustained mode





Recap of Plenary 1





Sustained mode - meaning

Sustained mode

- Approximately annual extensions of all input forcing data based on the latest observations
 - Supports much faster updates/analysis
- An agreed frequency at which updates, i.e. changes in methodology that affect the entire timeseries, would be published and older methodologies would be deprecated.
- But, worth noting that this mode above wouldn't support all use cases

Pull the latest science through, without forgetting our users who need a more stable data product







Where we are today









CMIP Climate Forcings

Producing forcings (slightly more detail)

WCRP











Key takeaways

Lots of research is still required

• Can't just switch entirely to sustained mode tomorrow

Current sustained mode capacity is very limited

The best model for supporting a sustained mode is unclear







Potential challenges for a sustained mode







The good news - we have 'done' CMIP before

We have a base from which to build a sustained mode

Although. don't forget how much research work is still required





The challenges – support for raw observations

All of this work requires raw observations of our world

- See next slide
- Some of these raw observational networks are precarious
 - EDGAR and satellite capacity (particularly for aerosol optical properties and SSTs) were • both mentioned when forcing providers were asked





The challenges - support for raw observations

We have some reliance on a few well known names, but otherwise funding is surprisingly diverse (at least at the top layer, maybe they turn out to be the same once you dig down)

- NASA 5 datasets
- NOAA 3 datasets
- "Various" 3 datasets
- UK Met Office 2 datasets
- IAMs all projections
- Lots of others fund a single dataset
 - Copernicus, ECMWF, ESA, EUMETSAT, Energy Institue, European Commission, GFZ, Governments, IAMs, ICOS, IEA, LASP, MPI-MAC, NCEI, National Agencies, SIDC, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Schmidt Sciences, UNFAO, US Gov, USGS and international industry associations, various consortiums











Forcing providers running local





Observation 1: For a sustained mode, you need to run (basically) the same thing over and over again.

Problem with current status: Scientists are trained and funded to do new things, not the same thing over and over again.

Result: Either no or limited capacity for the maintenance that a sustained mode requires.











Observation 2: For a sustained mode, you need capacity to handle all the data, check metadata etc.

Problem with current status: Limited capacity to provide guidance and ensure standards, with high reliance on relatively few people.

Result: Challenges for data providers due to limited support and shared tools. Not everything is caught, so users don't have as smooth an experience as they could.





We don't have the capacity to support a sustained mode already because it's no-one's job

There aren't enough people whose entire job is to provide a solid base for climate science. Put another way: a chemistry lab with no lab technicians would be a disaster. In climate science, we have labs without lab technicians, and we all pay the price.





One other thought

Can't do everything everywhere all at once, but we do need to track everything everywhere all at once



The challenges - final thoughts

We know (roughly) what a first attempt at a sustained mode could look like in terms of scientific methods

The current model can't support a sustained mode

Software is a big part of the problems and the solutions, but it isn't the only thing (social elements and organisation also matter on a global scale)

Questions to consider

- How do we best implement a sustained mode?
- How do we organise contributions in this relatively high-profile space?
- How can we ensure that all contributors are acknowledged appropriately?
- Which users can we support?









Zebedee Nicholls Slide author

